

WATCHMAN'S TEACHING LETTER

Monthly Letter #52; August, 2002 By: Teacher Clifton A. Emahiser
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830; Ph. (419)-435-2836

ISRAEL COVENANT TWO SEEDLINE RACIAL IDENTITY

AN ANGLO-ISAAC-SON CAUCASIAN CULTURE AWARENESS TEACHING LETTER

A MONTHLY TEACHING LETTER

This is my fifty-second monthly teaching letter and continues my fifth year of publication. In the last few lessons, we have been considering the subject of prophecy. In lesson #49 we dwelt on Nebuchadnezzar's dream-image, interpreted by Daniel. In lesson #50 we studied about how the German Teutonic tribes were instrumental in Rome's eventual fall, predicted by that interpretation. Though it may not seem like it, lesson #51 also concerned itself with prophecy, inasmuch as Isaiah 22:25 was predicting the eventual downfall of the British Throne as we now know it. In that lesson, we learned the importance of the "key of David." In this lesson, we will discuss several seemingly unrelated topics, but in one way or another, the subject of prophecy will be involved. Because others have neglected to address this subject, I decided to continue on the topic of the "key of David" from lesson #51. We will now continue probing more commentary on "the key of David" from Isaiah 22:22-23. For this, we will first use *Adam Clarke's Commentary on the Bible*, abridged by Ralph Earle, pages 581-582:

"22. *And the key of the house of David will I lay upon his shoulder.* As the robe and the baldric, mentioned in the preceding verse, were the ensigns of power and authority, so likewise was the key the mark of office, either sacred or civil. This mark of office was likewise among the Greeks, as here in Isaiah, borne on the shoulder. In allusion to the image of the key as the ensign of power, the unlimited extent of that power is expressed with great clearness as well as force by the sole and exclusive authority to open and shut. Our Saviour, therefore, has upon a similar occasion made use of a like manner of expression, Matt. 16:19 and in Rev. 3:7 has applied to himself the very words of the prophet.

"23. *A nail.* In ancient times and in the Eastern countries the houses were much more simple than ours at present. They had not that quantity and variety of furniture, nor those accommodations of all sorts, with which we abound. It was convenient and even necessary for them, and it made an essential part in the building of a house, to furnish the inside of the several apartments with sets of spikes, nails, or large pegs, upon which to dispose of and hang up the several movables and utensils in common use. These spikes they worked into the walls at the first erection of them, the walls being of such materials that they could not bear their being driven in afterwards; and they were contrived so as to strengthen the walls by binding the parts together, as well

as to serve for convenience ... upon pins worked into the walls on purpose, as above mentioned, Eliakim is considered as a principal stake of this sort, immovably fastened in the wall for the support of all vessels destined for common or sacred uses; that is, as the principal support of the whole civil and ecclesiastical polity. And the consequence of his continued power will be promotion and flourishing condition of his family and dependents, from the highest to the lowest.”

Amazingly, very few have addressed the subject of “the key of David”; including Identity teachers for the most part. Of all the Identity teachers, one would think that Howard B. Rand would have presented something on it, as he wrote much concerning the importance of the House of David. I have in my library most all of his works, but when checking his *Index Of Scriptural Texts*, he skips over Isaiah 22 consistently. Therefore, the references which I am quoting are quite rare. The following material on this passage is from the *Commentary On The Whole Bible* by Jamieson, Fausset & Brown, page 536:

“22. **key** — emblem of his office over the house to ‘open’ or ‘shut’; access rested with him. **upon ... shoulder** — So keys are carried sometimes in the East hanging from the kerchief on the shoulder. But the phrase is rather figurative for *sustaining the government on one’s shoulders* ... 23. **nail ... sure place** — Large nails or pegs stood in ancient houses on which were suspended the ornaments of the family. The sense is: all that is valuable to the nation shall rest securely on him. In Ezra 9:8 ‘nail’ is used of the large spike driven into the ground to fasten the cords of the tent to. **throne** — resting-place to his family, as applied to Messiah, the antitype (Luke 1:32, 33). 24. Same image as in vs. 23. It was customary to ‘hang’ the valuables of the house on nails (1 Kings 10:16, 17, 21; Song of Solomon 4:4). **offspring and the issue** — rather, ‘the offshoots of the family, high and low’ [VITRINGA]. Eliakim would reflect honor even on the latter. **vessels of cups** — of small capacity: answering to the *low* and humble *offshoots*. **vessels of flagons** — larger vessels: answering to the *high offshoots*.”

I didn’t finish this quotation, as they only pointed to Shebna as the one to be “cut down.” If one will read Isaiah 22: 15-25 very carefully, one will discover that both Shebna and Eliakim would ultimately fall; Shebna immediately and Eliakim “in that day.” When the phrase “in that day” is used, it is usually speaking far in the future. We cannot compare Eliakim entirely to Messiah, as after His return, He will never fall. Many commentators have problems with the term **sure place** in verse 25, as they seem to want to apply it to Yahshua rather than the British Throne. Since they are blind to Israel’s identity, their misapplication is understandable. *The Wycliffe Bible Commentary*, page 625, explains Eliakim’s dignity quite well:

“22, 23. The key of the house of David refers to the position of high trust and influence Eliakim was to enjoy as Hezekiah’s prime minister (Hezekiah being of David’s dynasty). His position would be as secure as that of a **nail** or large peg built into the wall of a house, and his glory and prosperity would be passed on to his family and descendants.”

From this we see that not only the House of David was to endure, but also the House of Eliakim although Eliakim’s house would eventually, at some future time, fail. It

would appear that Eliakim and his house may have endured for nearly 2500 years. That would be an intriguing thing to track down.

For another interesting comment concerning Shebna, I will quote a footnote on page 1045 from *The King James Study Bible*, published by Thomas Nelson, ©1988: “[Isaiah] 22:15-25. **Shebna** is referred to as the **treasurer** and apparently was the leader of the pro-Egyptian faction in Jerusalem. Thinking his position was secure, he had already ordered a large **sepulcher** (tomb) to be raised in his memory. Instead, Isaiah predicts that he will soon be demoted and will eventually die a pauper in a foreign country. Shebna was replaced by **Eliakim** during the reign of Hezekiah, as indicated in 2 Kings 18:18. **The key of the house of David** refers to the responsibility of protecting the Davidic line.” [emphasis. mine]

For an additional witness, we will use *The International Bible Commentary*, by F. F. Bruce, page 736:

“No doubt this action typified the man’s [Shebna’s] whole attitude in a self-centered heedlessness of the plight of his country and his fellow-citizens. Isaiah only mentions the tomb in order to emphasize how useless it will be; for God’s plan is that Shebna will die in exile, far from his chosen burial place (18). Before his death, he will suffer the indignity of demotion from the high post of being **in charge of the palace**, i.e. comptroller of the palace.

“The vivid comparison of Shebna to a **ball** (18) is matched by that of **Eliakim** (20), his successor, to a **peg** (23) in a wall; Shebna can swiftly be uprooted, but Eliakim will be firmly established in the same office. The importance of the post is indicated, indeed emphasized, by the description of its authority in v. 22 (a verse which provides the basis for Rev. 3:7).”

OPENING AND SHUTTING

Revelation 3:7 says: “And to the angel of the church in Philadelphia write; These things saith he that is holy, he that is true, he that hath the key of David, he that openeth, and no man shutteth; and shutteth, and no man openeth.”

Above all my various Bible commentaries, *The Jamieson, Fausset & Brown Commentary On The Whole Bible* has the best interpretation on both this verse and Matt. 16:19 concerning “the key of David.” I like their adeptness in pointing out that after both Shebna and Eliakim have failed, then Yahshua the Messiah will supplant them at their position. Please note this as you carefully read their depiction, page 1538:

“**he that hath the key of David** —the antitype of Eliakim, to whom the ‘key’, the emblem of authority ‘over the house of David’, was transferred from Shebna, who was removed from office of chamberlain or treasurer, as unworthy of it. Christ, the Heir of the throne of David shall supplant all the less worthy stewards who have abused their trust in God’s spiritual [actually physical] house and ‘shall reign over the house of Jacob’, literal and spiritual (Luke 1:32, 33), ‘forever’, ‘as Sons over His own house’ (Heb. 3:2-6). It rests with Christ to open or shut the heavenly palace, deciding who is, and who is not, to be admitted: as He also opens, or shuts the prison, *having the keys of hell (the grave) and death* (ch. 1:18) ... Though ‘the synagogue of Satan’ false

‘Jews’ (vs. 9) try to ‘shut’ the ‘door’ which I ‘set open before thee’; ‘no man can shut it’.” [brackets and emphasis mine]

Let’s now see what this same commentary says concerning Matt. 16:19, on page 931: “**And I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven** —the kingdom of God about to be set up on earth — **and whatsoever thou shalt bind on earth shall be bound in heaven: and whatsoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven** —Whatever this means, it was soon expressly *extended to all the apostles* (ch. 18:18); so that the claim of supreme authority in the Church, made for Peter by the Church of Rome, and then arrogated to themselves by the popes as the ‘legitimate successors of St. Peter’, is baseless and impudent. As first in confessing Christ, Peter got this commission before the rest; and with these ‘keys’ on the day of Pentecost, he first ‘opened the door of faith’ to the *Jews* [true Judeans], and then, in the person of Cornelius, he honored to do the same to the *Gentiles* [Israelite nations]. Hence, in the lists of the apostles, Peter is always first named. See on ch 18:18. One thing is clear, that not in all the New Testament is there the vestige of any authority either claimed or exercised by Peter, or conceded to him, above the rest of the apostles — a thing conclusive against the Romish claims in behalf of that apostle.” [brackets mine]

DOOR SLAMMED SHUT ON THE FALSE “ JEWS ”

Messiah really locked the door tight, never to be opened for the impostor “Jews”, Matthew 21:43. I must point out: I am not talking about the pureblooded members of the Tribe of Judah, but the mongrel descendants of Cain and Esau along with other non-Israelite mixtures: “Therefore say I unto you, The kingdom of God shall be taken from you, and given to a nation bringing forth the fruits thereof.”

Additionally, our Savior placed an everlasting curse on that proselytized, racially-mixed nation, Matthew 21:19: “And when he saw a fig tree in the way, he came to it, and found nothing thereon, but leaves only, and said unto it, Let no fruit grow on thee henceforward for ever.”

Furthermore, while Jeremiah prophesied that the House of Israel would be reworked by the “potter” (Jeremiah 18:6), yet he proclaimed that Judah and Jerusalem would become a broken bottle, never to be made whole again, Jeremiah 19:10-11: “10 Then shalt thou break the bottle in the sight of the men that go with thee. 11 And shalt say unto them, Thus saith Yahweh of hosts; Even so will I break this people and this city, as *one* breaketh a potter’s vessel, that cannot be made whole again ...” Thus, that present-day so-called nation of Israeli will never become a homeland for the Zionist “Jews.” When Yahweh breaks something, it stays broken. It’s like Humpty Dumpty on the wall that had a great fall, and all the king’s horses and all the king’s men will never put that bad-fig cursed nation back together again. And all of those television fundamentalist preachers can proclaim the “Jews are God’s chosen people”, and that the migration of those misfits back into Palestine is a fulfillment of Bible prophecy, until they are blue in the face, and it’s not going to change a thing! The Israelis have tanks costing several million dollars a copy on their side fighting against stone-throwing teenagers and boys, and Israelis can’t win, and they never will.

Messiah proclaimed this against those false “Jews”, Luke 19:27: “But those mine enemies, which would not that I should reign over them, bring hither, and slay *them* before me.” The reason today’s Zionist “Jews” want Palestine and Jerusalem is another attempt, like Shebna, to usurp the throne and the “key of David.”

DOOR OPENED ONLY TO THE TRUE ISRAEL SHEEP

The false “Jews” are goats and the true Israelites are His sheep. The door has been slammed shut to the “Jews”, and opened wide to His true Israel sheep. No other but the sheep need apply, as it is a closed corporation. Messiah told the false “Jews”, John 10:26-27: “26 But ye believe not, because ye are not of my sheep, as I said unto you. 27 My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me.”

In Matthew 15:24, our Savior stipulated the specific purpose of His Mission: “But he answered and said, I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel.”

At Amos 3:2, Yahweh proclaimed to Israel: “You only have I known of all the families of the earth: therefore I will punish you for all your iniquities.”

Further, we are told in Deuteronomy 7:6: “For thou *art* an holy [set apart] people into Yahweh thy El: Yahweh thy El hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that *are* upon the face of the earth.”

After the Almighty divorced Israel, putting her away and punishing her, in the New Testament at 1 Peter 2:9 we read: “But you are a chosen race, a royal priesthood, a holy nation, God’s own people, that you may declare the wonderful deeds of him who called you out of darkness into his marvelous light. Once you were no people but now you are God’s people; once you had not received mercy but now you have received mercy.” RSV

These passages should give us an idea to what doors “the key of David” open and shut. No matter how hard some prostitute churchianity ministers try to open the “door” of Israel’s Redemption to other races, they will fail in their effort.

INTERPRETER’S BIBLE ALMOST GETS REV. 3:7-9 CORRECT

Because this 12 volume work was put together by many contributors, occasionally someone among them was of considerable ability. Although not entirely accurate, the editor on Rev. 2:9; 3:9 did quite well in commenting on pages 394 & 383:

“The **Jews**, who are really not Jews [Judah], are condemned again as **the synagogue [assembly] of Satan**, as in 2:9. For the author, the true Israel are the Christians, whom Paul considered the spiritual descendants of Abraham (Gal. 3:7), and not the Jews, a theme which John develops throughout his book. This came to be a familiar feature of Christian apologetic. The anti-Semitism of this letter is more marked, if possible, than that of the letter to Smyrna, for John adds that Christ will make the **Jews come and bow down** (RSV) or worship (KJV) at the feet of the Christians. In this context **bow down** is too weak a translation and **worship** too strong; ‘do homage’ (Moffatt) is better than either, but possibly ‘prostrate themselves’ is the best rendering here ... In view of the competition between synagogue and church, coupled with the Christian’s claim that they were the true Israel, it is not surprising, even though the Christians slandered them ... Nor is it surprising ... that Christians returned this hostility

and developed a considerable amount of anti-Semitism so that the Jews of Smyrna are called **a synagogue of Satan**. We are reminded of a similar attitude in words ... attributed to Jesus, 'You are of your father the devil, and your will is to do your father's desires' (John 8:44). For the author of Revelation there can be no salvation for these Jews who are on Satan's side in the conflict."

Had the commentator used both the words "physical" and "spiritual" descendants of Abraham, he would have been more correct. Also, concerning the idea of the Jews "bowing down" to us —it has never happened, and will probably come about only when they are exposed as counterfeit Israelites, just before they are slain. Genetically, all the "Jews" are on Satan's side.

TELEVISION NEWS FLASH

As I was in the process of preparing this lesson today, June 26, 2002, there was a special news segment on the Fox News channel at 9:50 A.M. concerning a topic which they dubbed "Wonder Boy." It was a segment about a boy from Kazakhstan in Russia, a region in central Asia, NE of the Caspian Sea, west of China. If you happened to catch that short portion of news, you can verify what I am about to relate. In my opinion, "Wonder Boy" is an excellent exhibit of a throwback of certain men to the animal stage. In fact the doctor, which the commentator was interviewing called people like him "monkey men." Further, they used the term "genetic mutation" in describing him. Asked if he would grow out of this condition, the doctor indicated the condition would "persist" throughout adulthood. He mentioned using hair laser treatment to get rid of the excessive amount of hair. The doctor also mentioned there were other examples like him in Mexico; citing a father and son in that category. The best description I could give of what I observed of "Wonder Boy" is that he appeared to be a cross between a Mongolian male and a chimpanzee ape, or possibly a capuchin monkey.

We are told in the Testament of Reuben 2:18-19, in *The Lost Books of The Bible and The Forgotten Books of Eden*, page 223: "For thus they allured the Watchers who were before the flood; for as these continually beheld them, they lusted after them, and they conceived the act in their mind; for they changed themselves into the shape of men, and appeared to them when they were with their husbands. And the women lusting in their minds after their forms, gave birth to giants, for the Watchers appeared to them as reaching even unto heaven."

The Book Of Enoch, 7:1-2 says: "It happened after the sons of men had multiplied in those days, that daughters were born to them, elegant and beautiful (Gen. 6:1-2). And when the angels, the sons of heaven, beheld them, they became enamored of them, saying to each other: Come, let us select for ourselves wives from the progeny of men, and let us beget children."

In *The Book Of Jasher* 4:18 it says: "And their judges and rulers went to the daughters of men and took their wives by force from their husbands according to their choice, and the sons of men in those days took from the cattle of the earth, the beasts of the field and the fowls of the air, and taught the mixture of animals of one species

with the other, in order therewith to provoke the Lord; and God saw the whole earth and it was corrupt, for all flesh had corrupted its ways upon earth, all men and all animals.”

Enoch 68:5 “The name of the second is Kesabel, who pointed out evil counsel to the sons of the holy angels, and induced them to corrupt their bodies by generating mankind.”

Jude 6 says: “And the angels which kept not their first estate, but left their own habitation, he hath reserved in everlasting chains under darkness unto the judgment of the great day.” [cross-referenced to 1 Enoch 53]

The reason I quoted all these passages is to show you, if an angel can change himself into the shape of men and procreate with Adamite women, undoubtedly he could also change his shape into an ape or monkey and procreate with them. The passage from *Jasher* almost spells that out; especially the last phrase. I lean to the idea that Yahweh never created the other races, but they are the result of angel-animal unions. I know there are many in Identity teaching a sixth and eighth day creation, of which I will not be contentious. They suggest the other races were created during the sixth eon, and Adam during eighth eon. My own personal feeling is: the other races are genetic misfits never created by Yahweh. If what I surmise is correct, Yahweh never classified them as “kind after kind”, and that they were “good.” Presently, I hope they will publish this “monkey-man” in color and very sharp focus, perhaps in the *National Geographic*, so you may view him also. Should they do so, their angle will probably be to promote evolution.

CORRECTION: I must also point out that my mind played a trick on me when I was putting together *Special Notice To All Who Deny Two Seedline, #16*. In the last column, I indicated that Nebuchadnezzar had killed Zedekiah’s seventy sons. I had inadvertently recalled the incident of Jehu killing Ahab’s seventy sons. How many sons Zedekiah had, I am not certain. On your copy, you might cross out the word “seventy.” Also, since doing *Special Notice #16*, I have discovered more information on the Elephantine papyri, and have rewritten the last paragraph in #16. In *Special Notice #17*, I will rectify certain elements concerning the Elephantine colony of “Jews.” If you would like some reworked #16s, please send a SASE.

BEWARE OF DECEPTION!

I must again repeat the warning concerning the book *Son Placing*, by Garrison R. Russell. This man’s entire thesis is based on John 3:3. By establishing a faulty premise on that verse, he fabricates many erroneous conclusions. On pages 28-29 he says the following:

“The process of spiritual birth takes place in two steps. The first step is your physical, Adamic birth. After your physical conception, gestation, and birth, you become a son of Adam. After this first step, you must complete a second step. This second step is a spiritual birth. *Verly, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God.*”

How then, does Russell sidestep Luke 3:38 which says: “... **Adam, which was the son of God.**” His false premise is, at birth, we are only sons of Adam. Surely, if Adam was the “son of God”, we being sons of Adam would make us “sons of

Yahweh” also. What kind of hocus-pocus slight-of-hand is Russell trying to contrive here? With this inaccurate statement, one can begin to see that Garrison R. Russell hasn’t the slightest clue what John 3:3 is all about. From this point on, his book goes from bad to worse. The word translated “again” (#509), in the KJV, should have been “above.” This is indisputable proof showing Russell never checked the Greek meanings. As Emmanuel was also “born from above” (John 3:31) when He was born in the flesh, it is not a “two step process.” In other words, there are some born from above (like we White people) and others who are born of the “earth, earthy” (like the “Jews” and the other races). That is what Yahshua meant when He told the impostor “Jews”, John 8:23: “Ye are from beneath; I am from above: ye are of this world; I am not of this world.” And, if you’re a pureblooded Adamite, you are not of this world either.

Let’s now take a look to see what Yahshua was really telling Nicodemus. The word “born”, as used in John 3:3, is #1080 in the *Strong’s* Greek dictionary. *The Complete Word Study Dictionary New Testament*, by Spiros Zodhiates, on #1080 says: “... *gennáo*, ... from *génos* (1085), generation, kind, offspring. To beget as spoken of men; to bear as spoken of women; pass., to be begotten or be born.” As we are directed to #1085, Zodhiates says: “... *génos*; neut. noun from ... (1096), to become ... Offspring, posterity ... Family, lineage, stock ...” One can also verify this with *Strong’s*, but it is necessary to follow-through from #1080 to 1085. Therefore, the main thrust of John 3:3 is: you must be born of the correct race; the heavenly White race. If we are a descendant of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, we are a “son/daughter” and under that Covenant. Russell entirely dismisses the Biblical fact of the Covenant and brushes it aside as meaning nothing.

RUSSELL CLAIMS MESSIAH WAS BORN SPIRITUALLY DEAD

This is what he said on page 288: “At the end of his gestation, Jesus Christ was ready for his spiritual birth. Spiritual birth involves the death of the physical body and the resurrection of the spirit ... Jesus Christ accomplished this at Calvary’s cross.” This is sheer blasphemy and tantamount to the congenital lying impostor “Jews.”

Today it is quite well known that conception requires 46 chromosomes. As Mary could by nature supply only 23 chromosomes, the other 23 chromosomes for the conception of Emmanuel would of necessity have come from the Almighty. Further, every cell that developed making up this Man was divided half and half in that manner. By this criteria, every cell in Yahshua’s body was half Yahweh El and half Adam man. Russell is implying that the half that belonged to Yahweh was “spiritually dead.” In other words, Russell, like the “Jews”, is proclaiming: “God Is dead”! To deny that Yahshua was Yahweh in the flesh is “antichrist”, 1 John 4:3; 2 John 7. Russell claims unreservedly that when Messiah was born He was “spiritually dead”, and needed to be born of the Spirit, page 643:

“Jesus became the physical Son of God when he was born from Mary. But he did not become a ‘spiritually begotten’ Son until his resurrection.”

What is Russell going to do with John 1:14? That verse says: “And the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us ... full of grace and truth.” Thus, Russell, wittingly

or unwittingly, makes himself a deceiving “antichrist.” It’s your prerogative should you follow his teachings, but Galatians 1:9 says: “As we said before, so say I now again, If any *man* preach any other gospel unto you than that ye have received, let him be accursed.”

In prophecy, Russell teaches a “future” Millennium. On page 717, in a time chart, he has the attack of Gog and Magog on America a thousand years beyond our time, while we are now in immediate danger. The timing of that attack is revealed to us, for in Ezekiel 39:7 we read: “So will I make my holy name known in the midst of my people Israel; and I will not *let them* pollute my holy name any more: and the heathen shall know that I *am* Yahweh, the Holy One in Israel.”

If the attack of Gog and Magog is a thousand years distant, then according to the context of this passage, so, too, is the Second Advent. Are we to believe our Savior will not make Himself and His name known until a thousand years after His Second Advent? If such a thing is true, how much more hell must we endure ahead of us. If we have to wait another thousand years, there won’t be any White Israelite people left for Him to come back to. Again, if such a scenario is correct, then we are going to have to put up with all these foreigners in our Israel-lands for another thousand years. I would highly recommend that Russell scrap his *Son Placing* book and find another field of endeavor! Reportedly, when Russell was faced up with the **two seeds** of Genesis 3:15, he replied: “**Oh, we’re beyond that!**”

Garrison R. Russell’s book *Son Placing* has a date of 1998. Then, in 1999 he wrote another entitled *The Book Of Isaiah*, continuing more of his convoluted disarray of Scripture. Russell’s doctrines have C. I. Scofield written all over them. He uses the same theory of dispensationalism as that of the futurists, dividing the time since Adam into six equal 1000 year periods. Had he checked the Patriarchal chronology in the *Septuagint* text (LXX), he would have found an additional 1468 years to account for, bringing us approximately to 7473 since Adam. If Russell’s supposition is true, and the LXX chronology is correct, we are now already 473 years past such a Millennium. According to that theory, the Millennium should have started in 473 A.D., and ended 1473 A.D. In his book on Isaiah, he speaks of “The Coming of Christ; The Battle of Armageddon; The Millennium; and The Battle of Gog and Magog.” If Ezekiel 39:7 is correct, that Messiah is not going to reveal Himself until the battle of Gog and Magog, how does one squeeze 1000 years between those two events? It is very difficult to believe that we could live 1000 years with Him and He wouldn’t reveal to us His true name. Additionally, that condemns us to have to contend with the heathen races for another 1000 years. If one observes the last 50 years of multiculturalism, how are we going to survive another 1000 years? Yet this is what Russell would like us to believe. I still contend the threat of Gog and Magog is now, not a thousand years from now!!! Most people believing in a future Millennium, when confronted with this fact, will usually retort: “Oh, it’s going to happen two times.” That position is also very difficult to confirm by Scripture, and insinuates the Almighty can’t do it right the first time.

The Book of Enoch prophesied there would be people like this writing books; Enoch 104:7-9: “7 Now will I point out a mystery: Many sinners shall turn and transgress against the word of uprightness. 8 They shall speak evil things; they shall

utter falsehood; create a great creation; and compose books in their own words. But when they shall write all my words correctly in their own languages, 9 They shall neither change nor diminish them; but shall write them all correctly; all which from the first I have uttered concerning them.” It’s not a matter of quoting correctly, but how they are manipulated and twisted out of shape after they are quoted.